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INTRODUCTION
In the last ten years there have been significant improvements in:
- HD Camera technology
- Endoscopic bone reamers
- Diamond cutting drills
- Radiofrequency and Laser probes

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES
To determine the safety and effectiveness of transforaminal endoscopic foraminotomy (TEF) as an alternative to open laminotomy

PATIENTS AND METHODS
- 34 patients (22m,12f) mean age 56±12 yrs all with MR foraminal compression
- Comparison with 25 patients (11m,13f) mean age 57±13 yrs with respect to hospital stay and secondary surgery
- VAS, ODI and EQ5-D logged on British Spine or Jointell QMS registries

SURGERY

RESULTS

TEF
- 36 foramina widened:
  5 L3/4, 17 L4/5, 14 L5/S1
  26 disc margins trimmed
- Surgery 60 min (range 33-140)
- Radiation 43±16s
- 28/34 patients Day Case
- All 23 workers returned to work at a median time of 28 days
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COMPLICATIONS
- No direct complications
- TEF – 4/34 secondary surgery – 2 Repeat TEF, 2 Axialift
- Laminotomy – 3/25 secondary surgery – pedicle fusion

CONCLUSIONS
- TEF was performed safely
- Visualisation allowed safe widening of foramen and resection of disc material if necessary
- Immediate recovery more rapid than laminotomy
- Low rate of repeat surgery
- Early return to work
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