An awake, minimally-invasive, fully-endoscopic surgical technique for treating lumbar radiculopathy secondary to heterotopic foraminal bone formation after a minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion with BMP: technical note
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Abstract: One complication associated with recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein (rhBMP-2) use in minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (MIS-TLIF) is heterotopic bone growth at the neural foramen which results in the compression of neural structures. Here we present an awake, minimally invasive surgical approach for treating the radiculopathy that results from this excessive bone growth in the foramen. A 42-year-old male underwent a lumbar 4–sacral 1 MIS-TLIF by another surgeon. He did well in the initial postoperative period, but he began to note right leg pain and numbness in an L5 dermatomal pattern. The pain continued for 2 years despite interventional pain management, and he began to note left foot dorsiflexion weakness. An electromyography (EMG) showed a left L5 radiculopathy and a CT Lumbar spine demonstrated excessive bone growth in the right L4–5 neural foramen. The patient underwent an awake, endoscopic foramotomy procedure utilizing a blunt tipped manual shaver drill system. The patient's radicular symptoms improved immediately, and he remained asymptomatic at the 1 year follow up. Heterotopic foraminal bone growth is one potential complication of rhBMP-2 use in the MIS-TLIF procedure. The endoscopic procedure described here is a minimally invasive surgical option that can be performed in an awake patient and is suggested a unique salvage or rescue procedure to be considered for the treatment of this potential rhBMP-2 complication.
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Introduction

Several advantages of minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (MIS-TLIF) over the open version of the procedure have been described: less blood loss, less pain, shorter hospitalization, and earlier rehabilitation (1). One disadvantage of the minimally invasive procedure is the challenge to the surgeon’s ability to perform adequate disc preparation while constrained to working down a small tubular retractor. The use of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein (rhBMP-2) is a reliable adjunct used to augment the fusion process but several possible complications have been suggested to be associated with its use: radiculitis, cancer, osteolysis, retrograde ejaculation, and heterotopic bone formation (2-11). The procedure presented here is an awake, endoscopic foraminal decompression that utilizes an oblique trajectory through Kambin’s triangle, avoids scar tissue, and does not risk exposing the patient’s hardware.
Case presentation

History and presentation

A 42-year-old male underwent a lumbar 4–sacral 1 MIS-TLIF by another surgeon. He did well in the initial postoperative period, but he began to note right leg pain and numbness in an L5 dermatomal pattern. The pain continued for 2 years despite interventional pain management, and he began to note left foot dorsiflexion weakness. An electromyography (EMG) study showed a right L5 radiculopathy and a computed tomography (CT) lumbar spine demonstrated excessive bone growth in the right L4–5 neural foramen. The patient underwent an awake, endoscopic foraminotomy procedure utilizing a blunt tipped manual shaver drill system. The patient’s radicular symptoms improved immediately, and he remained asymptomatic at the 1 year follow up.

Operative procedure

For the transforaminal endoscopic procedure to perform the foraminotomy at L4–5 on the right, the patient was positioned prone on the Wilson frame and the procedure was done under local analgesia with intravenous sedation; the level of anesthetic was titrated so the patient was able to communicate with the surgeon throughout the procedure. The Joimax® (Germany) TESSYS® endoscopic system was used for the procedure. Percutaneous entry was established entering through the skin 11 cm lateral to the midline. Using intermittent fluoroscopic guidance, alternating between lateral and anterior-posterior (AP) view, a 25 cm 18 gauge needle was advanced and placed at the superior endplate of L5 through Kambin’s triangle, between the exiting and traversing nerves. An AP fluoroscopic view was used to assure that at the point the needle touched the superior endplate of L5 the needle was not past the medial wall of the L5 pedicle. Sequential reamers were used to enlarge the neural foramen by removing the ventral aspect of the superior facet. Sequential side shaver manual drills were then used to safely expand the foramen. Figure 1 depicts the largest side shaver drill demonstrated in the lateral (Figure 1D) and AP fluoroscopic (Figure 1E) views. A very aggressive trajectory of the side shaver drill was possible because the drill’s tip is blunt and the instrument can effectively protect the traversing nerve root and thecal sac by pushing them forward as the sides of the drill remove bone. The technique used, while rotating the drill manually, was to lift the drill to shave the roof of the foramen (the superior articulating process of L5), and lower the drill to shave the bony overgrowth. After the percutaneous drilling was performed, the drill was removed, and the working channel endoscope was placed in the foramen—endoscopic graspers were used to remove remaining fragments of bone and ligamentum flavum until it was evident that the exiting L4 and traversing L5 nerve roots were no longer compressed. The patient was asked prior to terminating the procedure the status of his radicular symptoms. He confirmed the relief of his radicular symptoms and the working channel and scope were removed, pressure was held on the 5 mm incision for 5 minutes, and the wound was closed with a single interrupted suture.

Postoperative course

The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient’s pain improved immediately after surgery. One year after his endoscopic procedure, the patient had no clinical symptoms related to the heterotopic bone formation. Figure 1B and 1C demonstrates on axial CT the completely stenotic right L4–5 foramen (Figure 1B) and the more patent (albeit there remains significant heterotopic bone) foramen on the 6-month postoperative CT (Figure 1C).

Discussion

The use of rhBMP-2 has helped spine surgeons enhance fusions, reduce the morbidity involved in harvesting autograft, and allow surgeons to attempt more and more minimally invasive spine fusions (12,13). The use of biologics to enhance fusion, however, does not come without complications or expense. The complication treated here, heterotopic bone formation, required an additional surgery to treat. Another surgical technique, also minimally invasive, has been presented in the literature and describes resecting the heterotopic bone formation through a tubular retractor using a posterior micro endoscopic procedure (3). The advantage of this type of approach is a more perpendicular approach to the heterotopic bone which allows direct “drilling-down” of the compressive pathology. The disadvantages of this posterior approach are that in the setting of a previous posterior surgery and possible previous violation of the dura, the possible risks for neural injury (because of difficult scar dissection) and spinal fluid leak are probably greater. The approach suggested here avoids previous scar and does not disrupt previous fusion mass.
There are two significant short-comings to the technique presented here. First, the manual side shaver drill is used with only fluoroscopy for visualization: it is a percutaneous decompression with subsequent endoscopic visualization. The surgeon is guided by the location of the tip of the drill on AP and lateral fluoroscopy and the patient’s symptomatic feedback. Second, the post-op CT shows residual heterotopic foraminal bone. The right L4–5 foramen is less stenotic and the patient’s symptoms are improved, but additional decompression of the heterotopic bone could have been performed under direct visualization with an endoscopic drill.

The author and colleagues have previously published other endoscopic surgical techniques as “rescue” procedures for the complications seen after other spine surgical procedures: kyphoplasty (14), instrumented spine fusion (15), TLIF (16), artificial disc (17), adjacent segment disease (18) and thoracic spine tumor recurrence (19).

Figure 1 Minimally invasive surgical approach to treating lumbar radiculopathy secondary to heterotopic bone formation after a minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion. (A) CT lumbar spine sagittal reconstruction demonstrating the lumbar 4–sacral 1 fusion and the heterotopic bone in the right L4–5 neural foramen; (B) axial CT image of the right L4–5 foramen occluded by heterotopic bone formation; (C) axial CT image of the L4–5 level 6 months after endoscopic decompression—demonstrated is the open right L4–5 foramen and the reduction in size of the heterotopic bone formation; (D) lateral fluoroscopic view of the side-shaver drill in a trans-isthmus approach with the tip at the superior endplate of L5; (E) AP fluoroscopic view of the side-shaver decompressing the right L4–5 foramen. AP, anterior-posterior.
Patients who have already undergone significant spine surgical procedures are often hesitant to pursue additional surgeries and often travel great distances seeking an awake, endoscopic solution to their problem.

**Conclusions**

Minimally invasive spine surgery offers many benefits that are attractive to patients: shorter recovery times, small incisions, and less pain. Hence, minimally invasive spine surgery procedures are being performed more and more. With the increase in MIS procedures being performed, surgical practitioners are put in a situation where they have to get used to treating the unique complications that now arise from these procedures. Encouraging, though, is the fact that with the increase in minimally invasive techniques in the spine surgery armamentarium, there are more minimally invasive techniques to, somewhat ironically, treat the complications involved in MIS spine surgery.
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